

Draft Meeting Minutes

PDF-Archive Meeting

July 14, 2004

**AeA Building (Constitution Room)
601 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20004**

1. Welcome and Introductions

Mr. Stephen Levenson called the meeting to order at 10:45 a.m. on Wednesday, July 14, 2004. All participants were welcomed and asked to introduce themselves. Those participating in the meeting included:

Stephen Abrams (via conference call)	Harvard University Libraries
Robert Buckley (via conference call)	Xerox
Jane Cohen	DTIC
Betsy Fanning	AIIM
Scott Foshee	Adobe
Mark Gavin	Appligent, Inc.
Ken Grant	NARA
Ken Haller	Computer Sciences Corp.
Diana Helander	Adobe
Macduff Huges (via conference call)	Adobe
Noah Katz	Aspen Systems Corp.
Stephen Levenson	Administrative Office of the U.S. Courts
David McDowell	NPES
Paul Showalter	IRS
Susan Sullivan	NARA
Doug VanderWilt (via conference call)	Honeywell
Victor Votsch (via conference call)	Appligent
Melonie Warfel (via conference call)	Adobe

2. Approval of the Draft Meeting Agenda, July 14, 2004 (PDF-A 2004-007)

The July 14, 2004 meeting agenda (PDF-A 2004-007) was approved.
(McDowell/Cohen)

3. Approval of the Draft Meeting Minutes, March 23, 2004 (PDF-A 2004-005)

The meeting minutes for the March 23, 2004 meeting (PDF-A 2004-005) were approved as presented. (Sullivan/Levenson)

4. Review of Comments from ISO/CD Ballot

Mr. McDowell began the comment review description by reviewing the editorial comments and recommending that they be considered self-explanatory and be included in the US vote.

The first three comments (comments 171-1, 46-1 and 130-1) regarding the Foreword were recommended to be combined into one comment by the secretariat.
(McDowell/Cohen)

The following discussion will document only those comments where discussion by the committee took place.

130-2

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

130-3, 130-5, 130-9, 130-10, and 171-15

The current draft refers to the specific characters in Unicode code point. The comment says that there are two specific contexts for character use. Characters in text and content streams should be in Unicode. Steve Abrams is to provide the wording to cover this. It was also suggested to include all of these into one comment for section 4 on notations.

130-4

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

130-5

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

46-2

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

46-3

Accepted. Comment will go forward as an editorial comment rather than a technical one.

130-6

This will be added to the introduction. The last two paragraphs will be deleted from the proposed text. The standard will be referred to as 19005-1 even though it is synonymous to 19005. The comment will go forward as a general comment.

130-7

Accepted. Comment will go forward. This is a clarification from PDF/X.

46-4

This comment really refers to paragraph 6.1.1. The comment will go forward if recommended wording is provided by Friday, July 16. If no wording is provided, the comment will be deleted.

46-5

The word 'arbitrary' will be replaced with '8-bit' as it is vague. The note will be added and the 'should' in the note will be changed to 'needs to be'.

46-6

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

46-7

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

46-8

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

46-9

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

130-8

An informative note will be added to define the file trailer. Note is to say, "NOTE: As defined in PDF 1.4, the trailer is the last trailer dictionary in a PDF file." This will define the trailer and avoid the issue of the incremental saves.

130-9

Discussed earlier with 130-3.

130-10

Discussed earlier with 130-3.

130-11

Steve Abrams and Macduff Hughes are to discuss further. The committee discussion recommends using the existing second paragraph with the proposed wording from the comment.

130-12

Accepted. Comment will go forward with an amendment of, "any object whose offset is not referenced in the cross reference table is exempt from all requirements of this part of ISO 19005-1."

130-13

Comment was withdrawn. However, the US will proposed a new comment on Annex A. Mr. Ken Grant, NARA will perform a review of the table.

130-14

Amend text to include wording proposed at the New York meeting.

130-15

Comment was withdrawn.

130-16

Comment was withdrawn.

130-17

Accepted. Comment will go forward. Macduff will provide text for the proposed change.

130-18

Add a statement to the end of clause 6.2.3.4 that states that all restrictions of clauses 6.2.2 to 6.2.10 apply equally to alternate spaces or deviceN shall conform to all restrictions of color space. Add to the comment to change the name of clause 6.2.3.4 to 'Separation and DeviceN colour space.'

171-2 through 171-6 and 130-19

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

130-20

The committee discussed how the font program would be embedded. This is referenced in the PDF Reference in section 5.8. Add to this section between "embedded" and "within" 'as defined in PDF Ref 5.8'.

130-21

Comment was withdrawn and will be combined with 130-22 using the wording from 130-22.

130-22

Steve Abrams will provide the text that will specify what the width entry of the font matrix will match.

171-7 through 171-9

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

46-10

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

130-23

Comment will go forward recommending text that will state that it shall contain the F key and that the print flag shall be set while specifying which other flags will not be set.

130-24

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

46-11 and 46-12

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

46-13

Comment was withdrawn.

46-14 and 46-15

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

46-16

Accepted recommendation to change from 'compatible' to 'conformant'. Comment will go forward.

46-17

Note will be moved as recommended to appear after Table 2.

46-18

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

46-19

The proposed response is different from the comment. Throughout the standard, the use of file and document are not consistent. File will be used for specific files and document will be used in a more abstract notion. The comment will be revised to clarify the use of file and document as a noun or a verb. File will be defined using descriptions from ISO glossaries.

46-20

Comment was withdrawn.

130-25

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

130-26

Comment was withdrawn.

171-10 through 171-12

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

130-27

A table note will be added to the table to define the URIs that are behind the prefixes. Comment will be amended to recommend adding a table note defining the shorthand notation for the URI.

171-13

Accepted. Comment will go forward with a change of 'or' to 'nor the'.

130-28 and 130-29

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

130-30

Comment was withdrawn.

171-14

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

130-31

An informative note will be added after the first paragraph in 6.7.8. It was noted that XMP is a normative document.

171-15

See earlier item for 130-3.

171-16

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

46-21

An informative note is needed to describe the affect of the NeedAppearance data. Note should say, 'NOTE: Requiring an appearance dictionary ensures the reliable rendering of a form.'

46-22 and 46-23

Accepted. Comment will go forward.

5. October ISO/TC 171/SC2 Joint Working Group Meeting Logistics

Dr. Foshee, U.S. Head of Delegation, requested that a caucus be held on Sunday, October 3 at a location to be determined. Caucus meeting will begin at 6 p.m. Delegates are advised that the meeting may last long.

6. Next Steps

Conference call meeting for Heads of Delegation from TC 42, TC 46 SC11, TC 130 and TC 171 to be held on Thursday, August 26 to review the consolidated comments received from the CD ballot.

September 13 was set aside for a potential meeting to prepare for the JWG meeting. If the meeting is held, it will be held in conjunction with the PDF event in Baltimore to facilitate ease of participation in both events. Venue for the meeting will be distributed upon confirmation of the need to hold the meeting.

After the October JWG meeting in London, a conference call will be held to brief those who were not in attendance only if there is something to report or discuss.

7. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 1:20 p.m. (McDowell/Cohen)